IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.K.MOHANAN

FRIDAY, THE 17TH AUGUST 2007 / 26TH SRAVANA 1929

WA.No. 2039 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.19181/2000 Dated 06/06/2007
.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONERS:
---

1. K.REGHUNANDANAN THAMPI, AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O.V.KUMARADAS, SELECTION GRADE SENIOR TIME
KEEPER, TRAVANCORE TITANIUM PRODUCTS LTD., TVM,
NOW WORKING AS SECTION OFFICER, RESIDING AT
T.C.36/564, 'POURNAMI',PALKULANGARA,
VALLAKADAVU POST, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. C.VIJAYAKUMARAN, AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O.L.CHELLAYYAN, SELECTION GRADE SENIOR TIME
KEEPER, TRAVANCORE TITANIUM PRODUCTS LTD., TVM,
RESIDING AT T.C.18/1589(2), KUNNAPUZHA,
ARAMADA POST, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.SHAJI P.CHALY

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
CHIEF SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. MANAGING DIRECTOR, TRAVANCORE
TITANIUM PRODUCTS LIMITED, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. T.P.ABRAHAM, SECTION OFFICER,
TRAVANCORE TITANIUM PRODUCTS LIMITED,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. ARUMUGHOM PILLAI .S., SECTION OFFICER,
TRAVANCORE TITANIUM PRODUCTS LIMITED,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. SUMEDHAN R., SECTION OFFICER,
TRAVANCORE TITANIUM PRODUCTS LIMITED,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI BENNY GERVASIS.

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
V.K.MOHANAN, JJ.

W.A. NO. 2039 of 2007

Dated this the 17th day of August 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J.

Appellants are the petitioners in O.P. 19181/2000 which was filed for promotion to the post of Senior Clerk in terms of the Service Rules of the Company. Ext.P1 Rule came into effect from 1977 onwards by which Junior Time Keepers, Junior Clerks, Stores Assistants and Typists formed a composite category for promotion to the category of Senior Clerk in the Administrative Department. The Single Judge dismissed the O.P stating that finality had atttained to that fact situation. We find no reason to interfere with the finding of the Single Bench since things have been settled long back. If the appellants are still aggrieved, they have to approach the government and it is for the government to consider their representation and pass orders in accordance with law.

With the above observation, the writ appeal is disposed of .

K.S.Radhakrishnan, Judge,

V.K.Mohanan, Judge

Kvm/

V.K.MOHANAN, J.

No....

Judgment/Order

Dated: